

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 506-08/20

Farhat Ullah Babar

Vs

Ministry of Defence

March 03, 2026

Applicant present in person. Brig (R) Falak Naz, Legal Advisor, Lt. Col (R) Yasar, Legal Officer and Mr. Aitzaz Ul Haq, Assistant Legal Advisor, Ministry of Defence appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Arguments were heard from both sides. The appeal was allowed vide order dated 07-03-2022 however, order of the Commission is not implemented so far. Many hearings have been held with sufficient details for the public body to understand the dynamics of implementation of the order of the Commission. Their representatives have been appearing efficiently and diligently and presenting the instructions given to them by the head of the public body.
2. Despite offering a number of chances, implementation of the order of the Commission has not been made.
3. Attention of the Secretary, MOD is invited to the implications of Sections 20 and 22 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017 for more serious attention towards this matter.
4. In view of the above, let a final notice be issued to the Secretary, MOD, sensitizing him to the situation and ensuring implementation of the order of the Commission.
5. Adjourned to 14-04-2026

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5049-10/2025

Khushdil Khan Malik

Vs

Federal Service Tribunal (FST)

March 03, 2026

Applicant present in person. Zahid Ur Rahman, Assistant Registrar, FST appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 03-03-2026, has furnished the required information along with certified copies of the relevant documents.
2. The applicant, after seeing the said information, has shown satisfaction with the shared information and requested that the appeal be closed. In view of the provision of the required information and the satisfaction of the applicant, The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan

Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui

Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5191-12/2025

Muhammad Nauman ul Haq

Vs

Federal Investigation Agency (FIA)

March 03, 2026

Ms. Sania Imtiaz, Deputy Director, FIA appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 29-01-2026 under RGL No. 170311418. A period of more than 20 days as required under the Access to Information Regulations 2023 have passed. No objection has been received from the applicant. It appears that the applicant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5192-12/2025

Saddia Mazhar

Vs

Federal Investigation Agency (FIA)

March 03, 2026

Ms. Sania Imtiaz, Deputy Director, FIA appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The applicant in her information request has sought the total number of citizens/passengers who were offloaded or stopped from traveling abroad from various airports during the time period as specified in the information request.
2. The public body in its response took support from section 16 (1) (j) (iv) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. Which states that the information in respect of the operation of the immigration controls will be exempted from disclosure. Response of the public body was shared with the applicant.
3. She sent a rejoinder on this stating that the required information is solely numerical and statistical data which constitutes public record and such information does not fall within any of the exemptions provided in the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
4. The Commission heard the arguments of the public body, perused the information request, response of the public body and rejoinder submitted by the applicant. The Commission has applied its judicious mind to the record available before it as well as the relevant provisions of the law and also perused section 16 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
5. The law provides blanket exemption regarding information related to the operation of immigration. The law does not segregate it into numerical or substantial details.
6. In view of the position highlighted above, the plea of the public body is sustained and accordingly no order can be passed for provision of the information related to the immigration operations.
7. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan

Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui

Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5166-12/2025

Murtaza Hashim

Vs

National Assembly of Pakistan

March 03, 2026

Anees Ur Rahman, Proof Reader, National Assembly Secretariat appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 29-01-2026 under RGL No. 170311415. A period of more than 20 days as required under the Access to Information Regulations 2023 have passed. No objection has been received from the applicant. It appears that the applicant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5305-01/2026

Waqar Ahmed

Vs

Oil and Gas Regulatory Authority (OGRA)

March 03, 2026

Abdul Malik Sherani, Senior Law Officer, OGRA appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The representative of the public body has filed a written reply to the information request, submitting that no transcript/stenographic notes, etc., have been made by the public body. He has further submitted that minutes of the hearing are not maintained by the public body. The representative of the public body has categorically stated that all information is contained in the judgment passed by the public body.
2. The Commission has examined the information request and found that the applicant, in his information request, has required a copy of the transcript/stenographic notes and notices, and has also asked whether OGRA maintains recordings of hearings on tapes or other storage devices in the official record. He has also sought the format in which such recordings are maintained.
3. In view of the categorical response of the public body, the outcome is that none of the records sought by the applicant is maintained by the public body. Notes/scripts and audio or video records are also not maintained.
4. Therefore, when the required information is not maintained by the public body, no order can be passed for sharing the same with the applicant. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

-Sd-

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

-Sd-

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4973-08/2025

Ch. Ashraf Ali Gujjar

Vs

Zarai Taraqiati Bank Limited (ZTBL)

March 03, 2026

Ch. Adeel Ashraf, SVP / PIO, ZTBL appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The appeal was disposed of vide order dated 02-12-2025 after obtaining the required information from the public body and sharing it with the applicant. At that time, the applicant had submitted that the information was false and incomplete, and the Commission, in its order, recorded that if the applicant considered so, he may file a complaint.
2. Now, the applicant has filed complaint stating that the order dated 02-12-2025 was passed based on the misleading submission of the representative of the public body, which diverted the focus of the Commission from the rejoinder and legal arguments of the applicant. The public body vide letter dated 03-03-2026 also filed reply to the complaint of the applicant, denying his allegations.
3. As far as this allegation of the applicant is concerned, it is found baseless as the order of the Commission is well-reasoned.
4. The required information was obtained and shared with the applicant. The said information pertains to the recruitment policy and the number of officers appointed under the said policy. The function of this Commission is not to decide the correctness of the policy, but to obtain information from the public body as required by the applicant and share it with the applicant, which is done in the instant appeal in accordance with law. The complaint filed by the applicant is without any substance.
5. The Commission also does not deem it necessary to proceed further on this complaint or to conduct an inquiry into the complaint filed by the applicant. Therefore, The complaint stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5194-12/2025

Mian Ejaz Saifal

Vs

Zarai Taraqiati Bank Limited (ZTBL)

March 03, 2026

Ch. Adeel Ashraf, SVP / PIO, ZTBL appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 28-01-2026, has furnished written reply stating that the Car Policy is clearly defined in Chapter 12 of the HR Manual, 2017.
2. The public body further stated that in cases where officials are dismissed, discharged, or relieved from the Bank's service and their matters are under litigation, or where any amount remains outstanding against them, NOCs for transfer of ownership are not issued until recovery of assets—such as the vehicle—or settlement of the outstanding balance. A copy of the said written reply was shared with the applicant, who, vide email dated 09-02-2026, has filed a rejoinder.
3. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request, the written reply of the public body, and the rejoinder filed by the applicant. It is found that the written reply furnished by the public body adequately addresses the information request of the applicant.
5. As far as the non-mentioning of the specific section of the HR Manual Policy is concerned, when the Car Policy with specific Chapter No. 12 of the HR Manual has been mentioned in the written reply, the applicant may locate the specific provision from the said chapter. This is not a ground to turn down the written reply.
6. In view of the above, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

